

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,284	12/05/2001	Jung-Hyuk Im	YPL-0024	6641
7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER PICH, PONNOREAY		
Daniel F. Drexler 55 Griffin South Road Bloomfield, CT 06002				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	10/005,284	IM ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ponnoreay Pich	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, and the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on, but it does in the proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ate a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-89). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ite of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.	
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 		
after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for seeking court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:		
The examiner has attempted to contact applicant's restatus of the application since the six months deadlir responded to any of the messages the examiner had the examiner first tried to contact applicant's representations.	ne to respond has passed. To da d left on his voice mail and over	te, Mr. Drexler has not
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawing any negative effects on patent term.	-	KIM VU IV: PATENT: NEWANAMATATION policy filed to